

Start with the decision.

A one-page AI experiment card

Keep answers brief. A thinking aid, not a validated assessment.

Who uses the result?

Fictional researcher reviewing public sources

Experiment ID / date

FICTIONAL PLAN / 17 Oct 2026

1. Decision

What choice should the output help someone make?

Trial AI for private summaries
of public housing reports?
Decide on a supervised pilot only.

2. Action and fallback

What follows the decision? What stays with a person?

A person opens sources and checks every claim.
Unverifiable claims return to manual work.
Nothing publishes automatically.

3. Evidence and gaps

Sources, dates, permitted use and what remains unknown.

Fictional setup: public reports; permitted use unverified.
Record publisher, URL, date and page before starting.
Actual sources and coverage are not yet selected.

4. Current baseline

How is this done without AI?

Read reports and write
summaries manually.
Measure effort first.

5. Acceptance criterion

What counts as an accepted result?

All claims source-supported;
no material caveat omitted.
Reviewer accepts or rejects.

6. Review and stop rules

Who checks errors? When must the experiment stop or hand back?

Researcher checks every output before use.
Stop for confidential input or unclear reuse rights.
Reject unverifiable claims; log failures and retries.

7. Test before acting

Cases, comparison, time and full costs.

Plan 6 reports; same brief.
Log attempts, effort, costs.
No pilot run yet.

8. Observed result / next decision

Record evidence; unknown stays unknown.

Unknown: no pilot run.
No savings or quality data.
Next: select eligible sources.

Author-proposed working format. A small pilot does not prove broad reliability.

Compare delivery and review effort with the baseline; do not assume savings.

Full interactive brief: a-samadi.com/tools/before-you-build-ai.html

Biography and official profiles: a-samadi.com/about.html